CASE STUDY

[Name and location]

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Overview** | |
| Brief description, including natural, cultural and social values, and reasons for considering the area as an OECM |  |
| **2. Boundaries & Geographical Space** | |
| What size is the area? |  |
| How is the area and its boundaries defined? |  |
| **3. Governance Type** | |
| Description of the area’s governance arrangements e.g. private, indigenous etc. |  |
| Do the groups with rights, responsibilities or authority for the area recognize and support its status as an area-based conservation measure? |  |
| **4. Permanence** | |
| Is there a legal or other instrument/decision that sets out the area’s governance and conservation management arrangements, as well as other factors (below) |  |
| How easily can the instrument/decision be overturned? |  |
| Over what time-frame is the measure in place: long-/medium-/short-term |  |
| Is the measure in place year round or only part of the year? If the latter, which management practices are applied when the measure is not in effect? |  |
| **5. Management Objectives** | |
| What are the area’s management objectives? |  |
| Is biodiversity conservation an explicit or implicit management objective? |  |
| If there is an explicit/implicit biodiversity conservation objective, does it take primacy over other objectives in case of conflict? |  |
| Does the measure cover all or most elements of biodiversity in the area or only certain species? |  |
| Is management effectiveness measured? If so, how and what are the results? |  |
| **6. Conservation Effectiveness** | |
| Is the area effectively conserving biodiversity and how is conservation effectiveness measured? |  |
| How encompassing is measure is, and is the current effectiveness due to the measure, or just the fact that damaging activities haven't been targeted there yet |  |